Intracortical Lesions in Multiple Sclerosis: Improved Detection with 3D Double Inversion-Recovery MR Imaging

PURPOSE: To prospectively compare the depiction of intracortical lesions by using multislab three-dimensional (3D) double inversion-recovery (DIR), multislab 3D fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery (FLAIR), and T2-weighted spin-echo (SE) magnetic resonance (MR) imaging in patients with multiple sclerosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Local ethics review board approval and informed consent were obtained. Conventional T2-weighted SE and multislab 3D FLAIR and DIR images were acquired in 10 patients with multiple sclerosis (five women, five men) and 11 age-matched healthy control subjects (seven women, four men). Mean age was 40 years (range, 25–54 years) in patients and 34 years (range, 24–55 years) in control subjects. Lesions were classified according to seven anatomic regions: intracortical, mixed white matter–gray matter, juxtacortical, deep gray matter, periventricular white matter, deep white matter, and infratentorial lesions. The numbers of lesions per category were compared between techniques (Dunnett-corrected analysis of variance). Gain or loss (with 95% confidence intervals [CIs]) of numbers of lesions detected at 3D DIR imaging was calculated in comparison with those detected at T2-weighted SE and 3D FLAIR imaging.

RESULTS: Total number of lesions did not differ between 3D DIR and 3D FLAIR sequences, but the 3D DIR sequence showed a gain of 21% (95% CI: 4%, 41%) in comparison with the T2-weighted SE sequence. Because of high gray matter–white matter contrast, DIR images depicted more intracortical lesions (80 lesions in 10 patients) than both SE (10 lesions) and FLAIR (31 lesions); gains with DIR were 538% (95% CI: 191%, 1297%) and 152% (95% CI: 15%, 453%) compared with SE and FLAIR, respectively. Only four intracortical lesions were detected in control subjects. Also, DIR imaging enabled a better definition of mixed white matter–gray matter lesions because of greater contrast between the lesion and its surroundings.

CONCLUSION: MR imaging with 3D DIR enables increased intracortical lesion detection in the multiple sclerosis brain, as well as improved distinction between juxtacortical and white matter–gray matter lesions.

Multiple sclerosis is an inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system that usually affects young adults and leads to chronic invalidism. While the disease typically affects the (periventricular) white matter, the role of the gray matter in the pathophysiology of multiple sclerosis has gained increasing attention over the last few years (1–9). Results of histopathologic studies have shown that a substantial portion of the total cerebral lesion load in multiple sclerosis is located within the cerebral cortex or at the border between the cortex and subcortical white matter (4,10–12). It has also been shown that extensive subpial demyelination exists in the multiple sclerosis brain, with lesions extending from the pia mater downward over large areas of cortical gray matter (13).
Since abnormalities in cortical gray matter have been correlated with both physical and neuropsychologic deficits in patients with multiple sclerosis (14–16), it is essential to create a better understanding and a more accurate estimation of the amount of gray matter lesions in vivo.

Unfortunately, presently available magnetic resonance (MR) imaging techniques are usually not optimal for detecting cortical lesions (4). Authors of previous studies have reported increased cortical and/or subcortical lesion detection by using two-dimensional and three-dimensional (3D) fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery (FLAIR) MR imaging (14,17–20). However, the exact anatomic border between the cortex and subcortical white matter may be hard to determine on a FLAIR MR image, which creates difficulties in judging whether lesions are juxtacortical, mixed white matter–gray matter, or intracortical. With a double inversion-recovery (DIR) MR sequence, inversion times can be selected such that suppression of the signals from both white matter and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is achieved, yielding images that show superior delineation of gray matter; thus, the contrast is due to differences in T1 relaxation times between gray matter and CSF, as well as between gray and white matter. This method has been described before as a helpful tool in the detection of lesions in multiple sclerosis (21). In a comparison between two-dimensional DIR MR imaging and two-dimensional FLAIR MR imaging, it was concluded that two-dimensional DIR imaging might be preferential when infratentorial lesions (either neoplastic or demyelinating) and abnormalities with only slightly prolonged T2 values (e.g., cortical lesions) were concerned (22).

Recently, a multislab 3D DIR sequence was developed (23) that combined the aforementioned selective contrast with high spatial resolution both in plane and through plane. Thus, the purpose of our study was to prospectively compare the depiction of intracortical lesions by using a multislab 3D DIR, a multislab 3D FLAIR, and a T2-weighted spin-echo (SE) MR imaging sequence in patients with multiple sclerosis.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patients and Control Subjects

Ten patients with chronic, clinically definite (24) multiple sclerosis (secondary progressive in eight patients, relapsing-remitting in two patients; median Expanded Disability Status Scale score, 4.0; mean disease duration, 12 years) and 11 age-matched healthy control subjects were selected for this study (J.J.G.G., C.H.P.). Patients were randomly selected from a clinical database of multiple sclerosis. Health status of the control subjects was determined by evaluating their medical history. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics review board of the VU University Medical Center, and all subjects gave informed consent prior to examination. Mean age among the 10 patients (five men, five women) was 40 years (range, 25–55 years), and mean age among the 11 control subjects (four men, seven women) was 34 years (range, 24–55 years). No statistically significant differences in age or sex were found between patients and control subjects, as determined by means of the Student t test.

#### MR Image Acquisition

Examinations were performed (J.J.G.G., P.J.W.P.) with a 1.5-T whole-body MR imager (Sonata; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) by using a standard circularly polarized head coil. Standard dual-echo T2-weighted SE, 3D FLAIR, and 3D DIR MR images were acquired in random order. Sequence parameters are available in Table 1.

The multislab 3D FLAIR and 3D DIR methods are based on 3D turbo SE sequences preceded by one or two adiabatic inversion pulses, respectively, that selectively invert the imaging slabs (20,23). To reduce acquisition times in comparison with those in previous studies, the turbo factor was increased for both 3D DIR and 3D FLAIR sequences at the expense of a loss in signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise ratios. The 3D DIR and 3D FLAIR sequences contain a fat-saturation pulse, and an inferior saturation slab was applied to reduce flow artifacts for all sequences.

On the basis of the duration of the interleaved T2-weighted SE sequence, which is a standard method to measure numbers of lesions in multiple sclerosis research, the spatial resolution of the two 3D methods was adjusted to yield similar acquisition times that are acceptable for clinical research purposes. Thus, the voxel dimensions were 3.30 mm³ for T2-weighted SE imaging, 1.95 mm³ for 3D FLAIR imaging, and 2.81 mm³ for 3D DIR imaging (Table 1).

#### Image Analysis

Two experienced neuroradiologists (J.A.C. and F.B., both with 12 years of neuroradiology experience) interpreted the images by consensus. Lesions in patients with multiple sclerosis and in control subjects were classified (J.J.G.G.) according to their location as intracortical, mixed white matter–gray matter, juxtacortical white matter (not entering the cortical gray matter), deep gray matter, periventricular white matter, deep white matter, or infratentorial. Possible artifacts were evaluated on 3D DIR MR images of healthy control subjects.

In patients, the contrast ratio was determined between gray or white matter and lesions. The contrast ratio was de-

---

**TABLE 1**

Sequence Parameters for Multislab 3D DIR and FLAIR and Conventional T2-weighted SE MR Imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>3D DIR</th>
<th>3D FLAIR</th>
<th>T2-weighted SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repetition time (msec)</td>
<td>7100</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>2690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective echo time (msec)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>45, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inversion time (msec)</td>
<td>330, 2480*</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth (Hz per pixel)</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbo factor</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of sections†</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>⋯</td>
<td>⋯</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of slabs*</td>
<td>2 × 4</td>
<td>2 × 6</td>
<td>2 × 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of sections per slab‡</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section thickness (mm)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix size</td>
<td>147 × 256</td>
<td>147 × 256</td>
<td>158 × 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view (mm)</td>
<td>194 × 310</td>
<td>194 × 310</td>
<td>179 × 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-plane pixel size (mm)</td>
<td>1.3 × 1.2</td>
<td>1.3 × 1.2</td>
<td>1.1 × 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time (min)</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The long inversion time is the duration between the two inversion pulses, and the short inversion time is the duration between the second inversion pulse and the excitation pulse.

† “2 ×” indicates that the sequence was performed twice, in a section- or slab-interleaved manner.

‡ With an additional 60% oversampling in the section-select direction.
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Statistical Analysis

Numbers of lesions per region and to-
tal numbers of lesions were assessed and

expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

The mean relative comparison of numbers

of lesions seen on 3D DIR versus T2-

weighted SE or 3D FLAIR MR images was

expressed as a percentage of the more con-

ventional technique (either T2-weighted

SE or 3D FLAIR imaging). A positive rela-
tive comparison indicated a gain at 3D DIR

imaging with respect to the other tech-
nique (ie, 3D DIR imaging is more sensi-
tive). A negative value indicated a loss in

number of detected lesions (ie, 3D DIR im-

aging is less sensitive). Since we were only

interested in the difference between 3D

DIR imaging and the more conventional

techniques, the Dunnett method for mul-
tiple comparisons was used. Because of

skewness, data were first log transformed.

In two regions, no lesions were detected in

at least one case with at least one tech-
nique. For statistical analysis of those two

regions, the value of 0.5 was added to all

lesion numbers to enable log transforma-
tion. After transformation, mean differ-

ces and 95% confidence intervals (CIs)

were calculated. Subsequently, means and

boundaries of these 95% CIs were back
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This resulted in 95% CIs for the true rela-
tive gain in the numbers of lesions de-
picted between sequences for each brain

region, from which statistical significance

at the level of .05 can be concluded (if 95%
CI does not include zero) and an estima-
tion of the magnitude of the true differ-

ces can be made.
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Correlations between disease duration

of multiple sclerosis or Expanded Dis-

ability Status Scale and lesion scores (eit-

her total or specifically intracortical) were

investigated by using Spearman correla-
tion coefficient. All statistical analyses

(J.J.G.G., P.J.W.P., B.M.J.U.) were per-

formed by using SPSS version 11.0 (SPSS,

Chicago, Ill) and Excel 2002 (Microsoft,

Redmond, Wash).

RESULTS

Control Subjects

An example of 3D DIR MR imaging in

a control subject is shown in Figure 1.

The 3D DIR images of the control sub-

jects in this study revealed areas of high

signal intensity that were comparable

with the artifacts defined in 3D FLAIR

studies (20). If present, these areas were

located in the posterior fossa, the choroid

plexus, and the periventricular white mat-

ter and periaqueductal brainstem tissue,

most probably as a result of transpenn-

dymal CSF effusion. However, these areas

of high signal intensity were not consid-

ded diagnostically relevant, and CSF sup-

pression was sufficient on both 3D FLAIR

and 3D DIR MR images.
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In patients with multiple sclerosis, 1455 lesions were detected with T2-

weighted SE, 1714 lesions were detected with 3D FLAIR, and 1735 lesions were
detected with 3D DIR imaging (Table

2). When considering lesion categories

separately, 3D DIR imaging was superior

in depicting intracortical lesions in com-

parison with both T2-weighted SE and

3D FLAIR MR imaging (Fig 2). On aver-

age, 3D DIR imaging depicted 7.0 more

intracortical lesions per patient than did

T2-weighted SE imaging. In comparison

with 3D FLAIR imaging, 3D DIR imaging

Figure 1. Transverse 3D DIR images selectively depict cortical and deep gray matter in a control subject. Note the high level of contrast between gray matter and white matter and between gray matter and CSF. Artifacts such as transependymal effusion around the ventricles (arrow) and high signal intensity in the choroid plexus (arrowhead) are evident. No contrast agent was used.
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ratio differences between the three MR

imaging techniques were evaluated by

using a Student t test; Bonferroni cor-
depicted an average of 4.9 more intracortical lesions per patient. The relative gain for 3D DIR imaging was 538% (95% CI: 191%, 1297%) with respect to T2-weighted SE imaging and 152% (95% CI: 15%, 453%) with respect to 3D FLAIR imaging.

The largest number of mixed white matter–gray matter lesions was observed with 3D DIR imaging, followed by 3D FLAIR imaging and T2-weighted SE imaging. The relative comparison between 3D DIR and T2-weighted SE imaging revealed a mean gain of 165% (95% CI: 43%, 390%) at 3D DIR imaging versus T2-weighted SE imaging. As a consequence, the mean relative loss in lesions detected at 3D DIR imaging in comparison with T2-weighted SE imaging was estimated to be 38% (95% CI: −64%, 6%). MR imaging with the 3D DIR sequence showed a mean gain over imaging with the 3D FLAIR sequence of 39% (95% CI: −25%, 157%) for mixed white matter–gray matter and of 23% (−28%, 109%) for juxtacortical lesions. These lesion types are illustrated in Figure 3.

The 3D DIR and 3D FLAIR images depicted comparable numbers of deep gray matter lesions, with a relative gain of 87% (95% CI: 2%, 242%) when comparing 3D DIR images with T2-weighted SE images. For the remaining lesion categories, the mean relative comparisons between 3D DIR imaging and T2-weighted SE or 3D FLAIR MR imaging did not exceed 50%, except for infratentorial lesions, for which there was a gain of 72% (95% CI: −8%, 223%) for 3D DIR imaging in comparison with 3D FLAIR imaging. A larger number of white matter lesions (periventricular and deep white matter) was detected by using 3D DIR in comparison with T2-weighted SE imaging. The mean relative gains were quite low (16% and 24% for periventricular and deep white matter, respectively), reflecting the larger number of white matter lesions that are already detected on T2-weighted SE imaging.

Comparisons resulting in a relative loss of lesion detection at 3D DIR imaging were observed for 3D FLAIR imaging in the categories of deep white matter lesions (−25%; 95% CI: −39%, −8%) and periventricular lesions (−2%; 95% CI: −22%, 23%).

In patients with multiple sclerosis, no correlations were found between disease duration, the Expanded Disability Status Scale score, and numbers of lesions.

**Image Contrast**

The regions of interest that were selected for contrast measurements had mean areas of 128 mm² (range, 35–318 mm²) for gray matter, 138 mm² (range, 40–170 mm²) for juxtacortical lesions, 84 mm² (range 35–140 mm²) for deep GM, 113 mm² (range 8–46 mm²) for periventricular lesions, 110 mm² (range 2–41 mm²) for intracortical lesions, and 146 mm² (range 0–174 mm²) for mixed WM-GM lesions.
18–470 mm²) for white matter, 41 mm² (range, 11–206 mm²) for white matter lesions, and 1392 mm² (range, 356–5081 mm²) for noise. Gray matter lesions have a signal intensity similar to that of white matter lesions but are much smaller. Therefore, white matter lesions were used to determine the signal intensity of lesions in general.

The contrast ratios (Table 3) of both gray matter and white matter with respect to lesions were significantly higher on 3D DIR images than on 3D FLAIR images (P < .05 and P < .01 for gray matter and white matter, respectively). No differences were found between the sequences for contrast-to-noise ratios of lesions versus those of gray matter or white matter (Table 3). The contrast-to-noise ratio of mixed white matter–gray matter was similar for 3D DIR and T2-weighted SE images but significantly higher than that for 3D FLAIR images (P < .05). The contrast-to-noise ratio of white matter–CSF is, of course, very low on 3D DIR images, since the sequence was designed to suppress both white matter and CSF. Therefore, both 3D FLAIR and T2-weighted SE images have significantly higher white matter–CSF contrast-to-noise ratios (P < .01). Gray matter–CSF contrast-to-noise ratio is highest at 3D FLAIR imaging but is also significantly higher at 3D DIR imaging than at T2-weighted SE imaging (P < .01). The same is true for the lesion-CSF contrast-to-noise ratio (P < .01). All differences between contrast ratios and contrast-to-noise ratios were evaluated by using a Bonferroni-corrected Student t test.

**DISCUSSION**

Multiple sclerosis has classically been regarded as a disorder that predominantly affects the cerebral white matter. Over the past years however, an increasing body of evidence has pointed toward the involvement of gray matter in the pathophysiology of multiple sclerosis (4,13,15, 25–27). This acknowledgment of gray matter involvement in the disease has led to the incorporation of (juxta)cortical lesions in recently defined multiple sclerosis diagnostic criteria (28,29) and interest in the role of diffuse damage in the (normal-appearing) gray matter in determining disability and cognition (27,30).

Results of our study have shown that an increased rate of detection of intracortical lesions can be obtained with 3D DIR imaging; that is, 3D DIR imaging has higher sensitivity than do 3D FLAIR and standard T2-weighted SE methods. Use of 3D DIR imaging showed an average increase of 152% in intracortical lesions detected per patient when compared with detection at 3D FLAIR imaging. In comparison with T2-weighted SE imaging, use of 3D DIR imaging showed a more than 500% increase in detection, which is a very important result because T2-weighted SE sequences are still the most commonly employed in many clinical (and research) facilities when evaluating numbers of lesions in multiple sclerosis. This increase in intracortical lesion detection with 3D DIR imaging clearly stands out when compared with the results within the other lesion categories. Since only very few intracortical lesions were found in the control subjects, misinterpretation of artifacts for lesions seems implausible. From histopathologic studies it is known that intracortical lesions are abundant in the multiple sclerosis brain (4,10,25) and that the numbers of intracortical lesions may even amount to 59% of the total lesion count (4). However, in this study, 3D DIR images depicted a mean of 4.6% of the total number of lesions to be intracortical (eight of 174 lesions). It is therefore probable that many of the intracortical lesions still cannot be visualized with 3D DIR imaging.
Authors of several studies have pointed out the improvement in cortical and/or subcortical lesion detection with 3D FLAIR sequences in comparison with conventional SE sequences (18,19,31). When the two-dimensional DIR technique was introduced (21,22,32), it was reported to show advantages over two-dimensional FLAIR MR when it concerned infratentorial lesions (tumorous, inflammatory and/or demyelinating, vascular) or lesions with only slightly prolonged T2 relaxation times (eg, intracortical lesions). The findings in this study of increased numbers of lesions detected at 3D DIR imaging in the cortical gray matter (lesions with low contrast on T2-weighted images) are in accordance with the results of Turetschek et al (22). Although the values for the contrast-to-noise-ratio between lesion and gray matter at 3D DIR MR are similar to those at 3D FLAIR and T2-weighted SE MR imaging, the contrast between lesion and gray matter is highest at 3D DIR MR, which explains the higher conspicuity of intracortical lesions.

Besides the increased sensitivity to intracortical lesions, the second major advantage of 3D DIR imaging is its apparent potential to enable better distinction between mixed white matter–gray matter lesions, juxtacortical lesions, and purely intracortical lesions. Although T2-weighted SE MR is a sensitive technique, it may be difficult to distinguish between these three types of lesions on T2-weighted SE images. Lesions scored as juxtacortical on T2-weighted SE images often turned out to be mixed white matter–gray matter lesions on 3D DIR images. This is supported by the observation of a reduced number of juxtacortical lesions scored on 3D DIR images compared with T2-weighted SE images and an increased detection of mixed white matter–gray matter lesions at 3D DIR imaging at the expense of T2-weighted SE imaging.

Previous MR studies that have been performed in an attempt to improve quantification of (juxta)cortical lesions have used two-dimensional (18,19,31) and 3D FLAIR (20) MR imaging and have compared these techniques with standard T2-weighted SE imaging. In general, it was reported that 3D FLAIR imaging had an advantage over the conventional SE imaging in depicting lesions close to or in the cortex. The current study did not focus on the latter comparison, but the advantage of 3D FLAIR MR over T2-weighted SE MR imaging was not as large as that in previous publications. This may be due to the increased turbo factor of the 3D FLAIR sequence used in this study, leading to shorter acquisition times at the expense of lower signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise ratios. But even with higher signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise ratios, 3D FLAIR has a clear disadvantage: Contrast between white matter and gray matter is poor, and, therefore, mixed white matter–gray matter lesions are difficult to distinguish from purely intracortical or juxtacortical lesions. Results of this study show that the good contrast provided on 3D DIR images allows a better assignment of lesions according to anatomic position.

Relatively small differences between techniques were found for the numbers of white matter lesions counted (periventricular and deep white matter). This means that 3D DIR can be useful for clinical or research purposes as a supplement to or maybe even as a replacement for standard T2-weighted SE and 3D FLAIR MR imaging. In other words, the increased rate of intracortical lesion detection with 3D DIR MR imaging does not come at the cost of decreases in the numbers of white matter lesions counted.

With similar total acquisition time per sequence, the 3D slab volumes were designed to create maximal resolution while retaining whole-brain coverage, which results in different section thicknesses (3.0 mm for T2-weighted SE, 1.25 mm for 3D FLAIR, and 1.8 mm for 3D DIR imaging). This may cause problems when attempting to match lesions observed with one sequence to those observed with another sequence. It could also be argued that the calculation of numbers of lesions may be influenced by differences in section thickness. However, the larger section thickness of T2-weighted SE MR imaging is partly counteracted by the fact that the in-plane resolution of 3D DIR MR imaging is lower, which leads to similar pixel sizes, and great care was taken to avoid counting lesions twice, which is more likely to happen when the section thickness is decreased. Obviously, the section thickness of the 3D sequences could be increased to 3 mm, which is approximately the minimum for a two-dimensional technique such as T2-weighted SE imaging. But such an approach would not properly exploit the 3D properties of 3D DIR and 3D FLAIR sequences, which have an intrinsically higher signal-to-noise ratio and a special design for acquiring thin sections.

A disadvantage of the multislab 3D DIR sequence used in this study, as well as of the multislab 3D FLAIR sequence, is its interleaved nature. This requires relatively long acquisition times (due partly to the necessary 60% oversampling in the 3D slab direction), is prone to subject motion between interleaved series, and introduces flow artifacts and signal intensity differences between slabs. An advantage of both 3D DIR and 3D FLAIR imaging is the (nearly) isotropic spatial resolution, which allows registration and subtraction of longitudinal images to follow disease progression (33). A future methodologic improvement is directed toward the implementation of a single-slab 3D method similar to that described for a single-slab 3D T2-weighted and FLAIR acquisition (34,35). Because of the easy identification of the artifacts on 3D DIR images, diagnostic confounds will not be likely. Normal findings on 3D DIR images were previously described by Turetschek et al (22), who defined in more detail the origin of the artifacts.

Correct determination of cortical-subcortical lesion load is imperative for valid clinical and neuropsychologic studies in patients with multiple sclerosis. Results of previous studies in which FLAIR MR was used to determine (juxta)cortical lesion count or load (14,16,36) have shown poor correlations with clinical disability. Accurate cortical lesion detection by use of 3D DIR imaging should be a very helpful tool in understanding more about the physical and cognitive problems encountered by patients with multiple sclerosis. The present study did not specifically focus on correlating lesion counts with clinical and neuropsychologic measures but merely showed the possible improvement in detection of intracortical lesions. It is therefore essential that future studies focus on correlating well-defined cortical-subcortical lesion load to specific measures of disability and neuropsychologic function in a larger group of patients. Moreover, follow-up studies performed to evaluate changes in cognitive functioning in relation to increasing cortical disease burden will provide useful and important information. In conclusion, 3D DIR MR imaging shows increased depiction of intracortical lesions in brains with multiple sclerosis, as well as increased definition when assessing mixed white matter–gray matter lesions.
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