

Chapter 3

HPV16 genetic variation and the development of cervical cancer worldwide

- Iris Cornet
- Tarik Gheit
- Michelle R. Iannacone
- Jerome Vignat
- Bakary S. Sylla
- Annarosa Del Mistro
- Silvia Franceschi
- Massimo Tommasino
- Gary M. Clifford
- IARC HPV Variant Study Group

British Journal of Cancer, 2013 Jan 15;108(1):240-4.

doi: 10.1038/bjc.2012.508

Abstract

Background

Factors that favour a small proportion of HPV16 infections to progress to cancer are still poorly understood, but several studies have implicated a role of HPV16 genetic variation.

Methods

To evaluate the association between HPV16 genetic variants and cervical cancer risk, we designed a multicentre case-control study based on HPV16-positive cervical samples (1121 cervical cancer cases and 400 controls) from the International Agency for Research on Cancer biobank. By sequencing the E6 gene, HPV16 isolates were classified into variant lineages and the European (EUR)-lineage isolates were subclassified by the common polymorphism T350G.

Results

Incidence of variant lineages differed between cases and controls in Europe/Central Asia ($P = 0.006$, driven by an underrepresentation of African lineages in cases), and South/Central America ($P = 0.056$, driven by an overrepresentation of Asian American/North American lineages in cases). EUR-350G isolates were significantly underrepresented in cervical cancer in East Asia (odds ratio (OR) = 0.02 vs EUR-350T; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.00-0.37) and Europe/Central Asia (OR = 0.42; 95%CI = 0.27-0.64), whereas the opposite was true in South/Central America (OR = 4.69; 95% CI = 2.07-10.66).

Conclusion

We observed that the distribution of HPV16 variants worldwide, and their relative risks for cervical cancer appear to be population-dependent.

Introduction

High-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) types are the aetiological agents of cervical cancer³⁷, of which HPV16 is the most prevalent type worldwide, both in women without cervical abnormalities and in cervical cancer¹³. Factors that favour a small proportion of HPV16 infections to progress to cancer are still poorly understood, but several studies have implicated a role of HPV16 genetic variation^{1,11,23,24,31,36}.

HPV16 variants have previously been classified into four major lineages based upon common phylogenetic patterns of single nucleotide polymorphisms: (1) European-Asian, including the sublineages European (EUR), and Asian (As), (2) African-1 (AFR1), (3) African-2 (AFR2) and (4) Asian-American/North-American (AA/NA), including the sublineages Asian-American-1, Asian-American-2 and North-American^{8,33,34}. Other positions are frequently polymorphic within one or more lineages, but do not define phylogenetic sublineages⁵. A common such polymorphism within the EUR lineage is T350G that is localised in the E6 oncogene, and leads to an amino acid change from leucine to valine (L83V). Thus, the EUR lineage can be divided into isolates containing 350T (EUR-350T, which includes the prototype HPV16 sequence) or 350G (EUR-350G). This polymorphism has been suggested to influence the persistence and risk of progression to precancerous cervical lesions of EUR variant lineages^{11,12,35}, and also occurs in non-EUR lineages.

Using a multicentre case-control study design based upon HPV16-positive samples from the biobank at the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), the aim of the current study was to evaluate the association between HPV16 genetic variants and the risk for cervical cancer in geographically and ethnically diverse populations of women worldwide.

Material and methods

The IARC has coordinated cervical cancer case series, cervical cancer case-control studies, and population-based HPV prevalence surveys in a large number of countries worldwide ^{3,7,19}. All studies were approved by both local and IARC ethical committees. All cervical samples (exfoliated cells or tissue/biopsy specimens) from these studies have been genotyped for 37 HPV types using GP5+/6+-based PCR ¹⁷ in one centralized laboratory (Department of Molecular Pathology, Vrije University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands).

We designed a multicentre case-control study based upon the IARC biobank of HPV16-positive samples, with additional supplementation of HPV16-positive samples derived from cervical screening programmes in Italy ^{9,27} and Chile ¹⁰. Cases were defined as women with HPV16-positive histologically confirmed cervical cancer, whereas controls included women with HPV16-positive normal cytology, atypical squamous cells of unknown significance or low-grade squamous intra-epithelial lesions. We included only countries (n = 15) represented with both cases and controls in the IARC biobank.

For each selected HPV16-positive sample (exfoliated cells or tissue/biopsy specimens), DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing of the entire HPV16 E6 gene was performed at IARC, as previously described ⁸. In brief, the primers used were flanking outside of the coding region of HPV16 E6 (nucleotides 52-575): 5'-CGAAACCGGTTAGTATAA-3' and 5'-GTATCTCCATGCATGATT-3'. Forty amplification cycles were run in the GeneAmp PCR System 2700 (Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany), resulting in a 524-bp E6 PCR product. After enzymatic purification with exonuclease I and shrimp alkaline phosphatase, the HPV16 PCR products were sequenced by the fluorescent dye dideoxy termination method using an ABI Prism 377 DNA sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For the sequencing reaction, the same primers were used as for the PCR. On the basis of the E6 sequence, HPV16 isolates were classified into four variant (sub)lineages (EUR, As, AFR, AA/NA) according to Cornet *et al.* (2012) ⁸. The EUR lineage isolates were

additionally stratified into EUR-350T or EUR-350G. No other non-lineage-specific polymorphism was frequent enough to be formally analysed. Countries were combined into regions, based upon geography and similarity of variant lineage incidence: North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa, South/Central America, Eastern Asia, Western Asia and Europe/Central Asia. Because of the strong regional heterogeneity in the distribution of variant lineages (Table 1), regions were never combined in the following analyses.

Variant lineage distributions were compared between cases and controls using the Fisher's exact test. Associations between the EUR variants, EUR-350T and EUR-350G, and cervical cancer risk were estimated by odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI), using the method of Mantel Haenszel, by country and by region (adjusted for country). The Breslow-Day test was used to test the homogeneity between the crude ORs in South/Central America and the crude ORs in each of the other regions.

Results

Valid E6 sequence data was obtained for a total of 1121 HPV16-positive cases and 400 HPV16-positive controls. Distribution of cases and controls by variant lineage and country/region is shown in Table 1. The EUR lineages accounted for the majority of isolates and were common in all regions except Sub-Saharan Africa and East Asia. AA/NA lineages were detected in all regions except Sub-Saharan Africa. AFR lineages predominated in North and Sub-Saharan Africa, whilst the As lineage was specific for East Asia (Table 1).

In most regions, patterns of HPV16 variant lineages did not differ significantly between cases and controls. However, variant lineages differed significantly between cases and controls in Europe/Central Asia (Fisher's exact test $P = 0.006$), driven by an overrepresentation of AFR lineages in controls from Italy. There was also a difference of borderline statistical significance in South/Central America (Fisher's exact test $P = 0.056$), apparently driven by an overrepresentation of AA/NA isolates in cases.

Table 1. Distribution of HPV16 variant (sub)lineages in cases and controls, by country and region.

Country/Region	Total N cases / N controls	HPV16 lineages N cases / N controls				p-value ^a
		EUR	As	AFR	AA/NA	
Africa, North	234 / 17	89 / 7	1 / 0	116 / 6	28 / 4	0.359
Algeria	109 / 12	57 / 6	1 / 0	35 / 4	16 / 2	1.000
Morocco	125 / 5	32 / 1	-	81 / 2	12 / 2	0.126
Africa, Sub-Saharan	43 / 50	1 / 1	0 / 0	42 / 49	0 / 0	1.000
Guinea	40 / 25	1 / 0	-	39 / 25	-	1.000
Nigeria	3 / 25	0 / 1	-	3 / 24	-	1.000
America, South/Central	102 / 44	86 / 43	0 / 0	2 / 0	14 / 1	0.056
Chile	67 / 15	56 / 15	-	1 / 0	10 / 0	0.342
Argentina	35 / 29	30 / 28	-	1 / 0	4 / 1	0.366
Asia, East	258 / 28	37 / 5	181 / 20	11 / 0	29 / 3	0.839
Korea	47 / 4	7 / 2	35 / 2	-	5 / 0	0.181
Thailand	211 / 24	30 / 3	146 / 18	11 / 0	24 / 3	0.889
Asia, West	151 / 75	136 / 67	2 / 1	1 / 0	12 / 7	0.940
India	101 / 59	95 / 53	2 / 0	-	4 / 6	0.215
Nepal	24 / 12	22 / 10	0 / 1	-	2 / 1	0.510
Pakistan	26 / 4	19 / 4	-	1 / 0	6 / 0	0.612
Europe / Central Asia	333 / 186	324 / 177	0 / 1	0 / 5	9 / 3	0.006
Georgia	52 / 3	49 / 3	-	-	3 / 0	1.000
Italy	146 / 110	141 / 102	-	0 / 5	5 / 3	0.039
Poland	83 / 26	82 / 26	-	-	1 / 0	1.000
Mongolia	52 / 47	52 / 46	0 / 1	-	-	0.475
Total	1121 / 400	673 / 300	184 / 22	172 / 60	92 / 18	

^a P-value of Fisher's exact test. Abbreviations: EUR=European; As=Asian; AFR=African; AA/NA=Asian-American/North-American.

Table 2. Distribution of EUR-350T and EUR-350G variants in cases and controls, by country and region.

Country/Region	Total	N cases / N controls		OR ^a	(95% CI)
	N cases / N controls	EUR-350T	EUR-350G		
Africa, North	89 / 7	54 / 3	35 / 4	0.62	0.14-2.82
Algeria	57 / 6	29 / 3	28 / 3	0.97	0.12-7.84
Morocco	32 / 1	25 / 0	7 / 1	-	-
America, South/Central	86 / 43	19 / 26	67 / 17	4.69	2.07-10.66
Chile	56 / 15	11 / 7	45 / 8	3.58	0.88-14.03
Argentina	30 / 28	8 / 19	22 / 9	5.81	1.64-21.12
Asia, East	37 / 5	35 / 2	2 / 3	0.02	0.00-0.37
Korea	7 / 2	7 / 1	0 / 1	-	-
Thailand	30 / 3	28 / 1	2 / 2	0.04	0.00-1.17
Asia, West	133 / 67	36 / 25	97 / 42	1.61	0.86-3.02
India	92 / 53	26 / 18	66 / 35	1.31	0.59-2.87
Nepal	22 / 10	5 / 5	17 / 5	3.40	0.52-21.94
Pakistan	19 / 4	5 / 2	14 / 2	2.80	0.15-46.47
Europe / Central Asia	324 / 177	156 / 65	168 / 112	0.42	0.27-0.64
Georgia	49 / 3	12 / 0	37 / 3	0	0.00-4.37
Italy	141 / 102	60 / 29	81 / 73	0.54	0.30-0.96
Poland	82 / 26	39 / 5	43 / 21	0.26	0.07-0.82
Mongolia	52 / 46	45 / 31	7 / 15	0.32	0.10-0.97
Total^b	669 / 299	300 / 121	369 / 178		

Abbreviations: CI=confidence interval; EUR=European; OR=odds ratio. ^a Regional OR adjusted by country.

^b Five EUR isolates (four cases and one control) with missing sequence information at nucleotide position 350 are excluded.

The EUR isolates were further stratified into EUR-350T and EUR-350G and their distribution compared between cases and controls for all regions, except sub-Saharan Africa (Table 2). The relative proportion of EUR-350T to EUR-350G among controls varied considerably by country/region (EUR-350T was more common than EUR-350G among cases in all regions, except South/Central America), as did their relationship with cervical cancer (Table 2). In South/Central America, the EUR-350G isolates were significantly over-represented in cervical cancer compared to controls (OR = 4.69 vs EUR-350T; 95% CI = 2.07-10.66), an effect observed both in Argentina and Chile. In contrast, EUR-350G isolates were significantly underrepresented in cervical cancer in East Asia (OR = 0.02 vs EUR-350T; 95% CI = 0.00-0.37) and Europe/Central Asia (OR = 0.42 vs EUR-350T; 95% CI = 0.27-0.64), an effect that was consistent in all countries within those regions. The crude OR estimate for EUR-350G vs EUR-350T for South/Central America was significantly heterogeneous ($P < 0.05$) from that for all other regions (data not shown).

In Sub-Saharan Africa, the where AFR lineages predominated, no differences were observed between cases and controls in the pattern of AFR sublineages (as defined by Cornet *et al.* (2012)⁸, namely AFR1a (23 cases and 20 controls), AFR1b (0 out of 1), AFR2a (18 out of 18) and AFR2b (3 out of 3; 5 other AFR isolates were not classifiable by AFR sub-lineage based upon E6 alone; data not shown).

Discussion

Using a multicentre case-control comparison based on HPV16-positive samples selected from the IARC biobank, we were able to identify significant associations between HPV16 variants and cervical cancer risk. Furthermore, we observed that the distribution of HPV variant lineages and the EUR-350T/G worldwide, and corresponding relative risks for cervical cancer, were population-dependent.

The distribution of major variant lineages around the world was confirmed to be highly geographically/ethnically-specific^{6,18,21,29,33}, limiting the possibility to compare their carcinogenic potential in a standardised way across all regions. However, our data did suggest an underrepresentation of AFR variants in cervical cancer cases in Europe/Central Asia, and possibly an overrepresentation of AA/NA variants in cervical cancer cases in South/Central America. Although these findings require further clarification they do fit with the results of a study, suggesting that HPV16 variants tend to persist and progress to cervical intra-epithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3), better in a host whose ethnicity shares an ancestral origin³², even if this was not observed among greater racial admixed populations of Latin America^{14,25}. The AA/NA variants have been previously associated with higher CIN3 and cervical cancer risk in studies from Costa Rica²⁸ and Mexico¹, respectively, and with higher capacity for *in vitro* transformation of human keratinocytes²⁶.

The EUR lineages accounted for a large proportion of HPV16 isolates in all regions, except Sub-Saharan Africa. Hence, analyses were well powered to study cervical cancer risks associated with the common EUR-350T/G polymorphism, revealing significant heterogeneity by world region; in Europe/Central Asia and East Asia, cervical cancer risk was significantly associated with the EUR-350T variants in comparison with EUR-350G. However, the opposite was true in South/Central America. Although puzzling, hints of this regional heterogeneity can be found in previous country-specific studies; EUR-350T variants were overrepresented in cervical cancers in comparison with EUR-350G in studies from both the Netherlands² and China⁴, although no difference was seen in some other small series from Europe^{15,16,20,29}. Another study suggested that the carcinogenicity of EUR-350T vs EUR-350G might be population-dependent also within Europe³⁵. Furthermore, EUR-350T infections were more likely to persist and progress to CIN3 in comparison with EUR-350G in Denmark¹¹. With respect to the opposite finding in South/Central America, a similarly strong association of EUR-350G with cervical cancer has been observed in a previous study from Argentina²²

and a Brazilian study has recently reported a higher capacity for EUR-350G than EUR-350T variants to transform human keratinocytes *in vitro* ²⁶.

These differences by population might be explained by residual genetic heterogeneity within HPV16 genomes classified solely upon position 350, which, although highly polymorphic, does not seem to robustly define phylogenetic sublineages ⁵. Alternatively, host genetic factors, such as HLA haplotypes, or TP53 polymorphisms, which differ by population, could have a role in the association between a particular HPV16 variant and cervical cancer development ^{2,30,35}. Whatever the underlying cause, such apparent geographical differences reveal an inherent complexity in studies of HPV16 variants and cervical cancer risk, and give a warning about the extent to which data can be pooled across countries/regions.

The availability of HPV16-positive controls, rather than HPV16-positive cases, is the limiting factor in the statistical power of this study and other studies of HPV16 variants and cervical cancer, given that they need to be derived from a large population-based samples. This is particularly the cases in low-resource settings with no cervical screening programmes. Nevertheless, thanks to the reliance on 20 years of IARC studies on HPV and cancer from around the world, the number of HPV16-positive controls in the current study is the largest studied to date.

In conclusion, although our findings suggest that HPV16 variant analysis has no clinical application, understanding the genetic basis of differences in the carcinogenicity of HPV16 variants (which may be linked to genetic changes in non-E6 parts of the genome) may help us unravel important biological and/or immunological interactions between virus and host that could lead to better tools to control HPV infection and its malignant consequences.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from The Association for International Cancer Research (AICR), UK (project grant number 08–0213), Institut National

du Cancer (INCa), France (collaboration agreement 07/3D1514/PL-89–05/NG-LC), Fondation Innovations en Infectiologie (FINOVI; project no. AO1-project 2) and the European Commission, grant HPV-AHEAD (FP7-HEALTH-2011-282562). We thank Sophie Guillot, Vanessa Tenet, Sophie Pallardy, Annie Arslan, Annick Rivoire and Veronique Chabanis for their technical and administrative support.

In addition to the authors of this article, the members of the IARC HPV Variant Study Group include previous IARC staff (N. Muñoz, R. Herrero, X. Bosch) and local study coordinators (in alphabetical order by country): Algeria (D. Hammouda); Argentina (D. Loria, E. Matos); Chile (C. Ferreccio, F. Aguayo); Georgia (T. Alibegashvili, D. Kordzaia); Guinea (N. Keita, M. Koulibaly); India (T. Rajkumar, R. Rajkumar); Italy (G. Ronco, M. Sideri); Korea (D-H. Lee, H.R. Shin); Morocco (N. Chaouki); Nepal (A. Sherpa); Nigeria (J.O. Thomas, C. Okolo, I. Adewole); Mongolia (B. Dondog); The Netherlands (C.J.L.M. Meijer, P.J.F. Snijders); Pakistan (A. Raza); Poland (W. Zatonski); and Thailand (S. Chichareon, S. Sukvirach, S. Tunsakul).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Reference List

1. **Berumen, J., R. M. Ordonez, E. Lazcano, J. Salmeron, S. C. Galvan, R. A. Estrada, E. Yunes, A. Garcia-Carranca, G. Gonzalez-Lira, and C. A. Madrigal-de la.** 2001. Asian-American variants of human papillomavirus 16 and risk for cervical cancer: a case-control study. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **93**:1325-1330.
2. **Bontkes, H. J., M. van Duin, T. D. de Gruijl, M. F. Duggan-Keen, J. M. Walboomers, M. J. Stukart, R. H. Verheijen, T. J. Helmerhorst, C. J. Meijer, R. J. Scheper, F. R. Stevens, P. A. Dyer, P. Sinnott, and P. L. Stern.** 1998. HPV 16 infection and progression of cervical intra-epithelial neoplasia: analysis of HLA polymorphism and HPV 16 E6 sequence variants. *Int. J. Cancer* **78**:166-171.
3. **Bosch, F. X., M. M. Manos, N. Munoz, M. Sherman, A. M. Jansen, J. Peto, M. H. Schiffman, V. Moreno, R. Kurman, and K. V. Shah.** 1995. Prevalence of human papillomavirus in cervical cancer: a worldwide perspective. International biological study on cervical cancer (IBSCC) Study Group. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **87**:796-802.

4. **Chan, P. K., C. W. Lam, T. H. Cheung, W. W. Li, K. W. Lo, M. Y. Chan, J. L. Cheung, L. Y. Xu, and A. F. Cheng.** 2002. Human papillomavirus type 16 intratypic variant infection and risk for cervical neoplasia in southern China. *J. Infect. Dis.* **186**:696-700.
5. **Chen, Z., M. Terai, L. Fu, R. Herrero, R. Desalle, and R. D. Burk.** 2005. Diversifying selection in human papillomavirus type 16 lineages based on complete genome analyses. *J. Virol.* **79**:7014-7023.
6. **Chimeddorj, B., C. Y. Pak, A. Damdin, N. Okamoto, and Y. Miyagi.** 2008. Distribution of HPV-16 intratypic variants among women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and invasive cervical cancer in Mongolia. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* **9**:563-568.
7. **Clifford, G. M., S. Gallus, R. Herrero, N. Munoz, P. J. Snijders, S. Vaccarella, P. T. Anh, C. Ferreccio, N. T. Hieu, E. Matos, M. Molano, R. Rajkumar, G. Ronco, S. S. de, H. R. Shin, S. Sukvirach, J. O. Thomas, S. Tunsakul, C. J. Meijer, and S. Franceschi.** 2005. Worldwide distribution of human papillomavirus types in cytologically normal women in the International Agency for Research on Cancer HPV prevalence surveys: a pooled analysis. *Lancet* **366**:991-998.
8. **Cornet, I., T. Gheit, S. Franceschi, J. Vignat, R. D. Burk, B. S. Sylla, M. Tommasino, and G. M. Clifford.** 2012. HPV16 genetic variants: phylogeny and classification based on E6 and LCR. *J. Virol.* **86**: 6855-6861.
9. **DelMistro, A., H. Frayle-Salamanca, R. Trevisan, M. Matteucci, A. Pinarello, P. Zambenedetti, R. Buoso, G. P. Fantin, M. Zorzi, and D. Minucci.** 2010. Triage of women with atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASC-US): results of an Italian multicentric study. *Gynecol. Oncol.* **117**:77-81.
10. **Ferreccio, C., D. W. Van, V, F. Olcay, M. A. Dominguez, K. Puschel, A. H. Corvalan, S. Franceschi, and P. J. Snijders.** 2011. High-risk HPV infection after five years in a population-based cohort of Chilean women. *Infect. Agent. Cancer* **6**:21.
11. **Gheit, T., I. Cornet, G. M. Clifford, T. Iftner, C. Munk, M. Tommasino, and S. K. Kjaer.** 2011. Risks for persistence and progression by human papillomavirus type 16 variant lineages among a population-based sample of Danish women. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **20**:1315-1321.
12. **Grodzki, M., G. Besson, C. Clavel, A. Arslan, S. Franceschi, P. Birembaut, M. Tommasino, and I. Zehbe.** 2006. Increased risk for cervical disease progression of French women infected with the human papillomavirus type 16 E6-350G variant. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **15**:820-822.
13. **Guan, P., R. Howell-Jones, N. Li, L. Bruni, S. S. de, S. Franceschi, and G. M. Clifford.** 2012. Human papillomavirus (HPV) types in 115,789 HPV-positive women: A meta-analysis from cervical infection to cancer. *Int. J. Cancer.* **131**: 2349-2359.
14. **Hildesheim, A., M. Schiffman, C. Bromley, S. Wacholder, R. Herrero, A. Rodriguez, M.C. Bratti, M.E. Sherman, U. Scarpidis, Q.Q. Lin, M. Terai, R.L. Bromley, K. Buetow, R.J. Apple, and R.D. Burk.** 2001. Human papillomavirus type 16 variants and risk of cervical cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **93**: 315-318.
15. **Hu, X., T. Pang, Z. Guo, N. Mazurenko, F. Kisseljov, J. Ponten, and M. Nister.** 2001. HPV16 E6 gene variations in invasive cervical squamous cell carcinoma and cancer in situ from Russian patients. *Br. J. Cancer* **84**:791-795.

16. **Hu, X., T. Pang, Z. Guo, J. Ponten, M. Nister, and A. G. Bernard.** 2001. Oncogene lineages of human papillomavirus type 16 E6, E7 and E5 in preinvasive and invasive cervical squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Pathol.* **195**:307-311.
17. **Jacobs, M. V., J. M. Walboomers, P. J. Snijders, F. J. Voorhorst, R. H. Verheijen, N. Franssen-Daalmeijer, and C. J. Meijer.** 2000. Distribution of 37 mucosotropic HPV types in women with cytologically normal cervical smears: the age-related patterns for high-risk and low-risk types. *Int. J. Cancer* **87**:221-227.
18. **Kang, S., Y. T. Jeon, J. W. Kim, N. H. Park, Y. S. Song, S. B. Kang, and H. P. Lee.** 2005. Polymorphism in the E6 gene of human papillomavirus type 16 in the cervical tissues of Korean women. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* **15**:107-112.
19. **Munoz, N., F. X. Bosch, S. de Sanjose, R. Herrero, X. Castellsague, K. V. Shah, P. J. Snijders, and C. J. Meijer.** 2003. Epidemiologic classification of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **348**:518-527.
20. **Nindl, I., K. Rindfleisch, B. Lotz, A. Schneider, and M. Durst.** 1999. Uniform distribution of HPV 16 E6 and E7 variants in patients with normal histology, cervical intra-epithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* **82**:203-207.
21. **Pande, S., N. Jain, B. K. Prusty, S. Bhambhani, S. Gupta, R. Sharma, S. Batra, and B. C. Das.** 2008. Human papillomavirus type 16 variant analysis of E6, E7, and L1 genes and long control region in biopsy samples from cervical cancer patients in north India. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **46**:1060-1066.
22. **Picconi, M. A., L. V. Alonio, L. Sichero, V. Mbayed, L. L. Villa, J. Gronda, R. Campos, and A. Teyssie.** 2003. Human papillomavirus type-16 variants in Quechua aborigines from Argentina. *J. Med. Virol.* **69**:546-552.
23. **Sathish, N., P. Abraham, A. Peedicayil, G. Sridharan, and G. Chandy.** 2005. HPV 16 E6 sequence variations in Indian patients with cervical neoplasia. *Cancer Lett.* **229**:93-99.
24. **Schiffman, M., A. C. Rodriguez, Z. Chen, S. Wacholder, R. Herrero, A. Hildesheim, R. Desalle, B. Befano, K. Yu, M. Safaeian, M. E. Sherman, J. Morales, D. Guillen, M. Alfaro, M. Hutchinson, D. Solomon, P. E. Castle, and R. D. Burk.** 2010. A population-based prospective study of carcinogenic human papillomavirus variant lineages, viral persistence, and cervical neoplasia. *Cancer Res.* **70**:3159-3169.
25. **Sichero, L., S. Ferreira, H. Trottier, E. Duarte-Franco, A. Ferenczy, E.L. Franco, and L.L. Villa.** 2007. High grade cervical lesions are caused preferentially by non-European variants of HPVs 16 and 18. *Int J Cancer* **120**: 1763-1768.
26. **Sichero L, J.L. Sobrinho, V.L. Lina.** 2012. Oncogenic potential diverge among human papillomavirus type 16 natural variants. *Virology* **10**; 432: 127-32.
27. **Sideri, M., P. Cristoforoni, C. Casadio, S. Boveri, S. Igidbashian, M. Schmitt, T. Gheit, and M. Tommasino.** 2009. Distribution of human papillomavirus genotypes in invasive cervical cancer in Italy: a representative, single institution case series. *Vaccine* **27 Suppl 1**:A30-A33.
28. **Smith, B., Z. Chen, L. Reimers, D. K. van, M. Schiffman, R. Desalle, R. Herrero, K. Yu, S. Wacholder, T. Wang, and R. D. Burk.** 2011. Sequence Imputation of HPV16 Genomes for Genetic Association Studies. *PLoS. One.* **6**:e21375.

29. **Tornesello, M. L., M. L. Duraturo, I. Salatiello, L. Buonaguro, S. Losito, G. Botti, G. Stellato, S. Greggi, R. Piccoli, S. Pilotti, B. Stefanon, P. G. De, S. Franceschi, and F. M. Buonaguro.** 2004. Analysis of human papillomavirus type-16 variants in Italian women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer. *J. Med. Virol.* **74**:117-126.
30. **van Duin, M., P. J. Snijders, M. T. Vossen, E. Klaassen, F. Voorhorst, R. H. Verheijen, T. J. Helmerhorst, C. J. Meijer, and J. M. Walboomers.** 2000. Analysis of human papillomavirus type 16 E6 variants in relation to p53 codon 72 polymorphism genotypes in cervical carcinogenesis. *J. Gen. Virol.* **81**:317-325.
31. **Villa, L. L., L. Sichero, P. Rahal, O. Caballero, A. Ferenczy, T. Rohan, and E. L. Franco.** 2000. Molecular variants of human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 preferentially associated with cervical neoplasia. *J. Gen. Virol.* **81 Pt 12**:2959-2968.
32. **Xi, L. F., L. A. Koutsky, A. Hildesheim, D. A. Galloway, C. M. Wheeler, R. L. Winer, J. Ho, and N. B. Kiviat.** 2007. Risk for high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia associated with variants of human papillomavirus types 16 and 18. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* **16**:4-10.
33. **Yamada, T., M. M. Manos, J. Peto, C. E. Greer, N. Munoz, F. X. Bosch, and C. M. Wheeler.** 1997. Human papillomavirus type 16 sequence variation in cervical cancers: a worldwide perspective. *J. Virol.* **71**:2463-2472.
34. **Yamada, T., C. M. Wheeler, A. L. Halpern, A. C. Stewart, A. Hildesheim, and S. A. Jenison.** 1995. Human papillomavirus type 16 variant lineages in United States populations characterized by nucleotide sequence analysis of the E6, L2, and L1 coding segments. *J. Virol.* **69**:7743-7753.
35. **Zehbe, I., R. Tachezy, J. Mytilineos, G. Voglino, I. Mikyskova, H. Delius, A. Marongiu, L. Gissmann, E. Wilander, and M. Tommasino.** 2001. Human papillomavirus 16 E6 polymorphisms in cervical lesions from different European populations and their correlation with human leukocyte antigen class II haplotypes. *Int. J. Cancer* **94**:711-716.
36. **Zuna, R. E., W. E. Moore, R. P. Shanesmith, S. T. Dunn, S. S. Wang, M. Schiffman, G. L. Blakey, and T. Teel.** 2009. Association of HPV16 E6 variants with diagnostic severity in cervical cytology samples of 354 women in a US population. *Int. J. Cancer* **125**:2609-2613.
37. **zur Hausen, H.** 2002. Papillomaviruses and cancer: from basic studies to clinical application. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2**:342-350.